Warner Norcross & Judd LLP 900 Fifth Third Center 111 Lyon Street, NW Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487 (616) 752-2000 phone (616) 222-2500 fax gtoering@wnj.com moneal@wnj.com

Gordon J. Toering (GT-3738) (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Michael B. O'Neal (MO-9511) (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Attorneys for Bosch Chassis Systems Columbia L.L.C. f/k/a PBR Columbia L.L.C.

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK In re Chapter 11 Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) (Jointly Administered) Debtors. DeLPHI CORPORATION, et al Plaintiff, v. Adv. Proc. No. 07-02572-rdd PBR COLUMBIA LLC, Defendant.

BOSCH CHASSIS SYSTEMS COLUMBIA L.L.C.'S MOTION TO (A) VACATE CERTAIN PRIOR ORDERS OF THE COURT; AND (B) DISMISS THE ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE; OR (C) IN THE ALTERNATIVE, REQUIRE PLAINTIFFS TO FILE A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

Defendant Bosch Chassis Systems Columbia L.L.C. f/k/a PBR Columbia LLC ("**PBR**" or "**Defendant**"), by its undersigned attorneys, for its Motion to (A) Vacate Certain Prior Orders of the Court; and (B) Dismiss the Adversary Proceeding Complaint with Prejudice; or (C) in the Alternative, Require Plaintiffs to File a More Definite Statement, rely on its accompanying memorandum of law (with attached exhibits) filed contemporaneously herewith.

## WHEREFORE, Defendant seeks an order from the Court:

- Vacating certain interlocutory extension orders pursuant to the Court's discretionary authority because: (a) Debtors' failure to provide Defendant with meaningful notice of the motions seeking entry of the extension orders violates due process and renders the extension orders void and unenforceable against Defendant; (b) cause did not exist to extend the time for service of the Complaint; and/or (c) the Complaint was improperly filed under seal pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §107;
- O Dismissing with prejudice the Complaint against Defendant, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), made applicable by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7012(b), on the ground that it: (a) is barred by the 2-year statute of limitations; and/or (b) does not comply with the pleading requirements of *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937 (2009);
- O Dismissing the Complaint with prejudice on the grounds that it is barred by laches, judicial estoppel or res judicata;
- o In the alternative, order Debtors to file a more definite statement with respect to its Complaint.

o Granting Defendant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP Attorneys for Defendant

Dated: May 14, 2010 By /s/ Michael B. O'Neal

Gordon J. Toering (GT-3738) (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Michael B. O'Neal (MO-9511) (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Warner Norcross & Judd LLP 900 Fifth Third Center 111 Lyon Street, NW

Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487

Ph: (616) 752-2000 Fax: (616) 222-2500 gtoering@wnj.com moneal@wnj.com

Attorneys for Bosch Chassis Systems Columbia

L.L.C.

1786347